"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	or prioring date and not in conflict with the application but clied to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	
"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filling date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to	
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the	
*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	document is combined with one or more other such docu- ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled	
'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	in the art.  *&* document member of the same patent family	
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report	
19 November 2004	09/12/2004	
Name and mailing address of the ISA  European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2	Authorized officer	
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Schmid, A	



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

rnational application No. PCT/CH2004/000505

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)					
This international Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:					
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:					
2. X Claims Nos.: 3 because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:  see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210					
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).					
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)					
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:					
•					
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.					
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.					
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:					
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:					
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.					

## FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: 3

Claim 3 comprises a reference to a prior art documents with respect to the reactant to be used which does not allow the exact determination of this reactant which makes a search impossible

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

_				
formation	on	patent	family	members

trough whhirearon is

ntormation on patent tamily members						.c./CH2004/000505		
Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date		
US 2712546	Α	05-07-1955	GB	718198	ВА	10-11-1954		
US 2848472	Α	19-08-1958	DE GB	1041498 790822	-	23-10-1958 19-02-1958		
US 5707961	Α	13-01-1998	DE EP ES JP	59604209 0743297 2142512 8319250	7 A1 2 T3	24-02-2000 20-11-1996 16-04-2000 03-12-1996		